# **PCT**

# WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL ADDITOATION DIDITOL		INDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)
(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup> :	HED (	
A61K 39/008, 39/085, 39/21, 9/10, 9/107,	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 95/1170
9/127, 37/20		(43) International Publication Date: 4 May 1995 (04.05.9)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/USS (22) International Filing Date: 29 October 1993 (2) (71) Applicants (for all designated States except US): PH. CORP. [US/US]; 101 East 52nd Street, New Yor 10022 (US). UNITED STATES OF AMERICA [ Secretary of the Army, Washington, DC 20310 (US) (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): LOWELL, Geo [US/US]; 6303 Western Run Drive, Baltimore, MI (US). AMSELEM, Shimon [IL/IL]; 38/9 Binyamin Rehovot (IL). FRIEDMAN, Doron [IL/IL]; 33 Alo Carmei Yosef (IL). AVIV, Haim [IL/IL]; 9 Habros Rehovot (IL).	(ARMC) (Ork, N (US/US) S). Orge, I D 2121 in Street on Street	DK, FI, GB, HU, JP, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LU, LV, MG, MI MW, NL, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SK, UA, U UZ, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (B BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG  Y  Published  With international search report.
1.1 10 10 % of a second component of an emulsifier, about	omicron 0.05 to	emulsion that has about 0.5 to 50 % of a first component of an oil arous 5 % of a nonionic surfactant, about 0.00001 to 1 % of an immunoger
and an aqueous continuous phase. This submiction emulsion one ferably 0.05 and 0.2 $\mu$ m.	n nas a	mean droplet size in the range of between about 0.03 and 0.5 $\mu m$ , and

# FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JР	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgystan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo		of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korea	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KZ	Kazakhstan	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LI	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	ÜA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	ML	Mali	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France	MN	Mongolia	VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon		_		

#### SUBMICRON EMULSIONS AS VACCINE ADJUVANTS

#### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to the use of oil-in-water submicron emulsions as vaccine adjuvants for enhancing the immunogenicity and improvement of the immune response of antigens and to methods and compositions for preparing them.

# 10 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

20

25

30

In the past, the risks of whole-pathogen vaccines and limited supplies of useful antigens posed barriers to development of practical vaccines. Today, the tremendous advances of genetic engineering and the ability to obtain many synthetic recombinant protein antigens derived from parasites, viruses, and bacteria has revolutionized the development of new generation vaccines.

Although the new, small synthetic antigens offer advantages in the selection of antigenic epitopes and safety, a general drawback of small antigens is poor immunogenicity, resulting in low antibody titers and the need for repeated immunizations. This lack of immunogenicity has created an acute need to identify pharmaceutically acceptable delivery systems or adjuvants for these new antigens.

To improve the immune response usually antigens are mixed with adjuvant substances that stimulate immunogenicity. Immunological adjuvants have generally been divided into two basic types: aluminum salts and oil emulsions.

Aluminum phosphate and hydroxide (alum) have a long history of use as adjuvants. They are the only ones recognized as safe for this use by the Food and Drug Administration. Antibody levels against antigens in alum-based vaccines are clearly, although moderately, elevated above those obtained with the corresponding

aqueous vaccine. However, aluminum compounds have not always enhanced the immunogenicity of vaccines, and the problem of inconsistent antibody production has been frequently cited. Occasional production of sterile

5 abscesses and persistent nodules were also reported with alum-adjuvanted vaccines. Regarding long term side effects, researchers have suggested a link between aluminum and diseases of the brain, including Alzheimer's disease (Edelman, R.: Vaccine adjuvants. Rev. Inf. Dis.

10 1980; 2:370-383).

The development of emulsified oil adjuvants emerged historically from the studies of J. Freund who observed a remarkable increase in both the antibody and delayed hypersensitivity response to killed mycobacteria if the 15 organisms were incorporated in paraffin oil. two types of Freund's mineral-oil adjuvants: Incomplete Freund's Adjuvant (IFA), consisting of an approximately 50:50 water-in-oil emulsion, and complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA), a similar preparation with inclusion of 20 killed mycobacteria. The powerful antibody-stimulating effect of CFA has not been surpassed by anyeother adjuvant. However, because of severe pain, abscess formation, fever and granulomatous inflammation, CFA can be used only for experimental purposes and not in human 25 or veterinary vaccines. The toxic reactions reported using mineral oil-adjuvanted vaccines were attributed to impurities in Arlacel A (principally mannide monooleate), the emulsifying agent used in the preparations.

The use of IFA in humans has been limited to those

clinical situations in which aqueous vaccines are
relatively impotent and aluminum compounds have not
provided enough adjuvant activity. J. Salk made
practical the use of IFA in human vaccines by using a
highly refined mineral oil and a purified Arlacel A

emulsifier free of toxic substances injected
intramuscularly in thousands of recipients. However,

- 3 -

occasional failure of IFA vaccines reported in humans, and the discovery that Arlacel A was carcinogenic in mice, despite the absence of increased tumor formation in humans, has restricted the use of IFA vaccine

5 formulations.

Since CFA was the first successful adjuvant, most investigators followed the example of CFA in assuming that substitutes for each of the three components, viz. oil, emulsifier and immunostimulant, are necessary for formulating a successful adjuvant.

U.S. Patents 4,772,466 and 4,606,918 disclose methods for enhancing the immunogenicity of an antigen by emulsifying it with a polyoxypropylene-polyoxyethylene block polymer, a glycol ether-based surfactant, a metabolizable non-toxic oil, and an immunopotentiating amount of an immunostimulating glycopeptide.

Pharmaceutical compositions comprising an oil-in-water micron size emulsion, refined detoxified endotoxin, cell wall skeleton and trehalose dimycolate have been disclosed as vaccine adjuvants in U.S Patents 4,505,900 and 4 803,076.

International patent application (PCT)
W0 90/14837 discloses adjuvant composition comprising a
metabolizable oil and emulsifying agent in the form of an
oil-in-water emulsion, where the antigen is added
externally to the prepared emulsion (extrinsic
formulation). All the examples in the disclosure
contained the immunostimulating agent, MTP-PE, a
lipophilic muramyl peptide derivative.

SUMMARY OF INVENTION

30

The present invention provides vaccine adjuvant compositions in the form of an emulsion of a plurality of submicron oil-in-water droplets having a particle size in the range of between about 30 nm to about 500 nm to effect enhanced immunogenicity of antigens incorporated

intrinsically or extrinsically into the droplets. These droplets or particles form a submicron emulsion ("SME") for use as the vaccine adjuvant.

In marked contrast to the aforementioned 5 disclosures, as will be described, the present invention does not require use of any immunostimulatory mycobacteria or muramyl peptide-like additives for its submicron emulsion to be effective. Moreover, as will be seen, a preferred embodiment of the present invention 10 consists of intrinsically incorporating the antigen into the emulsion at the time of formation of the emulsion; this is in distinct contrast to mixing the antigen with the emulsion after the emulsion has been independently extrinsically formed. It will be appreciated that 15 intrinsic formulation will be effective even in situations and conditions and species where extrinsic formulation is not. In this regard as well, the present invention is uniquely different and not at all implied by the previously mentioned applications which indeed 20 teaches away from the present invention in stating that it is sufficient to simply mix the antigen with the extrinsically previously formed emulsion.

The vaccine compositions of this invention also do not include any polyoxypropylene-polyoxyethylene block polymer, trehalose dimycolate, or cell wall skeleton, as are found in prior art compositions.

Another aspect of this invention is to provide compositions and methods for the preparation of submicron emulsions containing antigens, incorporated either intrinsically (emulsified together with the oil and surfactant) or extrinsically (added externally to a prepared SME).

In some cases, the submicron emulsion of the present invention can be administered in combination with other adjuvant systems, such as proteosomes, as indicated in the examples.

The size, concentration and specific formulation of SMEs may be varied to suit the particular antigen used. Moreover, such adjuvant preparations may enhance both humoral and cell-mediated immunity (CMI) as do Freund's 5 adjuvants. The SMEs here described have been developed for human use and since the oily droplets of the emulsions are of submicron size and contain no added pyrogenic moieties such as mycobacteria or MDP derivatives they have, unlike Freund's adjuvants, great 10 safety potential. They may be especially applicable to antigens that are vaccine candidates to protect against biologic toxins or infectious agents, which have natural hydrophobic moieties as a component including transmembrane viral, bacterial or parasite proteins, 15 membrane proteins such as proteosomes, lipopolysaccharides, glycolipids such as gangliosides, or a variety of proteins or peptides to which hydrophobic anchors have been chemically or genetically added.

Another aspect of the invention provides

compositions and methods to achieve mucosal immunity by
using an emulsion comprising a plurality of submicron
particles, a mucoadhesive macromolecule, immunogenic
peptide or antigen, and an aqueous continuous phase,
which induces mucosal immunity by achieving mucoadhesion
of the emulsion particles to mucosal surfaces. Mucous
surfaces suitable for application of the emulsions of the
present invention may include-ocular (corneal,
conjunctival), oral (buccal, sublingual), nasal, vaginal
and rectal routes of administration.

The emulsion particles have a hydrophobic core comprising a lipid or lipid-like composition and are stabilized with amphiphilic and/or non-ionic surfactants.

A wide variety of immunogens, including both watersoluble and water-insoluble peptides or polysaccharides,

35 may be accommodated in the present emulsions. The
hydrophobic core and surfactant provide a

- 6 -

microenvironment which accommodates lipophilic immunogens such as lipid A or lipopolysaccharides as well as membrane-associated peptide antigen domains, while the aqueous continuous phase accommodates water-soluble peptide domains, or oligosaccharides.

The term "peptide" herein includes both oligopeptides and proteins. To facilitate intestinal uptake, the emulsions may be encapsulated in gelatin capsules or otherwise enterocoated to prevent their exposure to gastric fluids when the oral route of administration is selected. Furthermore, the emulsions may be lyophilized as disclosed previously in Pharmos Corp. International Application Publication WO 93/15736 prior to their encapsulation in order to achieve added stability of the antigen.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING FIGURES

Figure 1 is a graph showing the size distribution of Intrinsic-SME vaccine containing SEB-Toxoid F antigen.

Figures 2A and 2B show the immune response obtained after parenteral immunization with formalizated SEB Toxoid (Toxoid F) at two different antigen doses, 10  $\mu$ g (A) and 50  $\mu$ g (B), formulated in extrinsic-SME, intrinsic-SME, alum, or free antigen.

25 Figure 3 shows the immunogenicity of SEB-Toxoid F complexed to proteosomes as a free antigen or adjuvanted with alum or extrinsic SME.

Figure 4 shows the anti gp160 IgG antibody rabbit titers obtained after parenteral immunization with gp160 30 formulated in alum, proteosomes or SME adjuvants.

Figure 5 shows the specific anti-Alex 10 (V3 loop) rabbit IgG titers obtained after parenteral immunization with gp160 formulated in alum, proteosomes or SME adjuvants.

20

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

This invention is directed to pharmaceutical compositions comprising submicron emulsions as vaccine adjuvants, and to methods for preparing and using such 5 compositions.

### Features of the Submicron Emulsion (SME) Particles

The submicron emulsion vaccine adjuvants of the present invention comprise an aqueous continuous phase suspending a colloidal phase of submicron particles. The particles have a weighted average diameter of about 30 to 500 nm, more preferably about 50 to 200 nm. In many embodiments, the weighted average diameter be less than 460 nm, 400 nm, 300 nm, or 200 nm.

15 Usually the weighted average diameter will be greater than 40 nm or 50 nm, and frequently is greater than 70 nm. Often, the above-stated upper and lower diameter ranges will include both the weighted average and at least one standard deviation of particle diameter.

The emulsion particle comprises a hydrophobic core, often including or even consisting assembled and metabolizable and non-toxic oil such as MCT (medium chain triglycerides) oil of the type extensively used in parenteral emulsions like INTRALIPID® or a vegetable oil.

25 Optionally, other hydrophobic lipids may be used, including cholesterol or cholesteryl esters and fatty acids. In many embodiments, the core of the particles will be substantially free of protein other than the antigen to be delivered, i.e. less than 1% (w/w), and in most cases less than 0.1% of other protein.

The emulsion usually further includes at least one surfactant, which may be a natural biologically compatible surfactant such as phospholipid (e.g., lecithin) or a pharmaceutically acceptable non-natural surfactant such as TWEEN-80. The surfactant assists in

- 8 -

maintaining particles within the desired size range and preventing their aggregation.

In many embodiments the emulsion may be formed and stabilized in the substantial absence of one or more

5 cosurfactants selected from the group consisting of an unhalogenated aliphatic C3-C6 alcohol, a free fatty acid, a mono- or di-glyceride, a polyglycerol fatty acid ester, or a lysophosphatidyl choline. One or all of the abovenamed cosurfactants may comprise less than 5%, commonly less than 1%, and frequently less than 0.1% (w/w) relative to the weight of the hydrophobic core.

The emulsion also contains an immunogen, preferably of an antigen. The antigen may be hydrophilic, hydrophobic, or amphiphilic since the emulsion provides a biphasic lipophilic-hydrophilic microenvironment.

The continuous phase of the emulsion is aqueous, and may contain salts, sugars, antioxidants, preservatives, microbicides, buffers, osmoticants, cryoprotectants, and other pharmaceutically useful additives or solutes.

NA.

Bioadhesive polymers, such as those currently used in pharmaceutical preparations, optionally may be added to the emulsion to further enhance the immunogenicity through mucous membranes achieving mucosal immunity.

20

The concentrations indicated by % in the following

25 description denote the concentration by weight of the
component per 100 units volume of the entire composition.

Also, all indicated concentrations should be understood
as standing each by itself, and not cumulative. It
should be appreciated by the artisan, however, that there

30 is some dependency between the concentrations of the
components, e.g., higher concentrations of the oil will
generally require higher concentrations of the emulsifier
and surfactant.

The emulsion used in the vaccine compositions of the present invention typically contain about 0.5 to 50% oil, about 0.1 to 10% emulsifier and about 0.05 to 5% of the

non-aqueous phase. The combined concentration of the oily and the amphiphilic phase increases viscosity of the composition. In order to obtain a non-viscous composition, the concentration of the non-aqueous phase should generally not exceed about 25%.

Preferred concentrations of the components are as follows: about 1 to 20% oil, most preferably about 1 to 10% for a composition intended to be fluid, about 0.2 to 5% of the emulsifier, with about 0.2 to 5% for the surfactant, with about 0.1 to 2% being particularly preferred.

The antigen is present in an amount of about 0.00001 to 1% by weight of the composition, preferably about 0.0001 to 0.5% and most preferably 0.001 to 0.1%.

Depending upon whether the antigen is hydrophilic or hydrophobic, it will be physically present in the oily phase at the oil-water interface, or the aqueous component. Also, the pH of these compositions should be in a range which is suitable for the stability of the antigen.

The submicron emulsion adjuvant formulations of this invention differ from the emulsion adjuvant composition of Patent Application WO 90/14837 in the following features:

- (i) all the compositions described in the abovementioned application are prepared extrinsically, namely the antigens are added externally to the previously prepared emulsion by mixing, while in the present invention the antigen can be added either extrinsically or more preferably intrinsically, together with all the emulsion components before emulsification and prior to the mixture of oil and water phases as detailed in the examples; and
- (ii) all the examples in the abovementioned disclosure contain an immunopotentiating amount of an immune-stimulating glycopeptide of the type of

- 10 -

muramyl peptides or their lipophilic derivatives, such as MTP-PE, while in the present invention all the SME adjuvant compositions are prepared in the absence of any muramyl peptide immunostimulating agent.

## Composition of the Hydrophobic Core

5

A hydrophobic compound which is suitably non-toxic may be used as a component of the core. Examples include 10 triglycerides, preferably of food grade purity or better, which may be produced by synthesis or by isolation from natural sources. Natural sources may include animal fat or vegetable oil, e.g., soya oil, a source of long chain triglycerides (LCT). Other triglycerides of interest are 15 composed predominantly of medium length fatty acids, denoted medium chain triglycerides (MCT). A medium chain triglyceride (MCT) oil, is a triglyceride in which the carbohydrate chain has 8-12 carbons. Although MCT oil can be considered as a component of vegetable oil, it is 20 separately identified herein because of its particular utility as a preferred oil for use in the present of emulsions. In addition, MCT oil is available commercially. Examples of such MCT oils include TCR (trade name of Societe Industrielle des Oleagineuax, 25 France, for a mixture of triglycerides wherein about 95% of the fatty acid chains have 8 or 10 carbons) and MIGLYOL 812 (trade name of Dynamit Nobel, Sweden for a mixed triester of glycerine and of caprylic and capric acids). The fatty acid moieties of such triglycerides 30 may be unsaturated, monounsaturated or polyunsaturated; mixtures of triglycerides having various fatty acid moieties are acceptable. The core may comprise a single hydrophobic compound or a mixture of compounds.

Examples of vegetable oils include soybean oil,

sotton seed oil, olive oil, sesame oil and castor oil.

Oily fatty acids, such as oleic acid and linoleic acid,

ell

fatty alcohols, such as oleyl alcohol, and fatty esters, such as sorbitan monooleate and sucrose mono-, di- or tripalmitate, can be used as the oil component, although these are not as preferred as the other oils mentioned above.

Optionally, the core may contain cholesterol or cholesteryl esters. In many embodiments, cholesteryl esters or cholesterol comprise less than 10%, 5%, 1%, or even 0.1% (w/w) of the total hydrophobic components of the core. Considerations in choice of core material include low toxicity and irritancy, biocompatibility, safety, metabolizability, stability and high loading capacity for antigens. Preferred hydrophobic core components have molecular weights below about 5,000 Da, more preferably below about 2,000 Da, and most preferably below about 1,500 Da.

### Composition of Surfactant Component

The amphiphilic phase comprises the emulsifiers and surfactants. Preferred emulsifiers include a 20 phospholipid compound or a mixture of phospholipids. Suitable components include lecithin; EPICURON 120 (Lucas Meyer, Germany) which is a mixture of about 70% of phosphatidylcholine, 12% phosphatidylethanolamine and 25 about 15% other phospholipids; OVOTHIN 160 (Lucas Meyer, Germany) which is a mixture comprising about 60% phosphatidylcholine, 18% phosphatidylethanolamine and 12% other phospholipids; a purified phospholipid mixture-LIPOID E-75 or LIPOID E-80 (Lipoid, Germany) which is a 30 phospholipid mixture comprising about 80% phosphatidylcholine, 8% phosphatidylethanolamine, 3.6% non-polar lipids and about 2% sphingomyelin. Purified egg yolk phospholipids, soybean oil phospholipids or other purified phospholipid mixtures are useful as this 35 component. This listing is representative and not

limiting, as other phospholipid materials which are known to those skilled in the art can be used.

Some embodiments of the invention provide an improved bioadhesive emulsion comprising incorporation of an amphiphilic and/or nonionic surfactant such as phosphatidylcholine, Tween, etc., together with a mucoadhesive polymer macromolecule as described below.

phospholipids, which are highly biocompatible.

10 Especially preferable phospholipids are phosphatidylcholines (lecithins), such as soy or egg lecithin. Other suitable phospholipids include phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylserine, phosphatidic acid, cardiolipin, and phosphatidylethanolamine. The phospholipids may be isolated from natural sources or prepared by synthesis. Phospholipid surfactants are believed usually to form a

single monolayer coating of the hydrophobic core.

Particularly suitable emulsifiers include

The surfactant is believed in many embodiments to

interact with the bioadhesive polymer to form a hydrated
polymer film coating associated with the surfactant at
the stabilized lipid/water interface surrounding the
particle core.

Preferred compositions contain a surfactant

component. The surfactant stabilizes the outer surface of the hydrophobic core component of the emulsion particles, thereby promoting a more uniform and manipulatable particle size. Usually the surfactant is present in a proportion of 0.01% to 5% (w/w) of the emulsion, preferably 0.05% to 2% and most preferably 0.1 to 2%.

Typically, the weight percentage of surfactant relative to hydrophobic (oil or other lipid) component is from 0.2% to 50%, more preferably from 5% to 20%. Higher ratios of surfactant to core lipid tend to promote smaller particle core diameters.

Surfactants may be either natural compounds, such as phospholipids and cholates, or non-natural compounds such as: polysorbates, which are fatty acid esters of polyethoxylated sorbitol (TWEEN); polyethylene glycol

5 esters of fatty acids from sources such as castor oil (EMULFOR); polyethoxylated fatty acid, e.g., stearic acid (SIMULSOL M-53); NONIDET; polyethoxylated isooctylphenol/formaldehyde polymer (TYLOXAPOL); polyoxyethylene fatty alcohol ethers (BRIJ); polyoxy
10 ethylene nonphenyl ethers (TRITON N); polyoxyethylene isooctylphenyl ethers (TRITON X). Mixtures of surfactant molecules, including mixtures of surfactants of different chemical types, are acceptable. Surfactants should be suitable for pharmaceutical administration and compatible with the peptide to be delivered.

In certain embodiments, the emulsion may be limited in or substantially free of one or more cosurfactants selected from the group consisting of free fatty acids, mono- or diglycerides (fatty acid mono- or diesters of glycerol), aliphatic C3-C6 monoalcohols (exclusive of, e.g., chlorobutanol or other haloalkyl alcohol preservative), polyglycerol fatty acid esters, or lysophosphatidyl choline. In many embodiments, the particular limited cosurfactant from the above group may constitute less than 5%, usually less than 1%, often less than 0.1%, relative to the weight of hydrophobic core component. In some embodiments, one or more cosurfactants may be present.

#### 30 Continuous Aqueous Phase

The aqueous component will be the continuous phase of the emulsion and may be water, saline or any other suitable aqueous solution which can yield an isotonic and pH controlled preparation.

In addition, the compositions of the invention may also comprise conventional additives such as

- 14 -

preservatives, osmotic agents or pressure regulators and
antioxidants. Typical preservatives include Thimerosal,
chlorbutanol, and methyl, ethyl, propyl or butyl
parabens. Typical osmotic pressure regulators include
5 glycerol and mannitol, with glycerol being preferred.
The preferred oil phase antioxidant is α-tocopherol or αtocopherol succinate. The aqueous phase may also include
an antioxidant of a polyamine carboxylic acid such as
ethylene diamino tetraacetic acid, or a pharmaceutically
acceptable salt thereof.

### Antigens

Since the SME particles provide a hydrophiliclipophilic microenvironment, either water-soluble or 15 lipid-soluble immunogens can be incorporated in the SME vaccines of the present invention. Examples of peptide antigens are: hydrophilic natural or synthetic peptides and proteins derived from bacteria, viruses and parasites, such as the recombinant gp160 envelope protein 20 of the HIV virus; natural or synthetic glycoproteins derived from parasites, bacteria or viruses such as the native surface glycoprotein of Leishmania strain or subunit vaccines containing part of the glycopeptides alone or covalently conjugated to lipopeptides like 25 lauryl-cystein hydrophobic foot; protein toxoids such as the Staphylococcus enterotoxin B toxoid, either chemically or physically inactivated, non-toxic bacterial surface structures (fimbrial adhesions) of Eschesichia coli strains such as the Shiga-like Toxin B Subunit (SLT-30 B) and AF-RL, a pilus adhesion which is a virulence factor for RDEC-1 E. coli strain; outer membrane proteins of Neisseria meningitides; Hepatitis B surface antigen; native or synthetic malaria antigens derived from different portions of Plasmodium falciparum, etc.

Examples of lipophilic or hydrophobic immunogens are lipopolysaccharides (LPS), such as detoxified LPS

obtained from E. coli (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, USA); Lipid A, the terminal portion of LPS, such as the one isolated from Salmonella minnesota R595 from List Biological Laboratories (CA, USA).

In some embodiments, the emulsion particles will be free or substantially free of the above or other nonbioactive proteins, i.e. less than 5%, usually less than 1%, and frequently less than 0.1% (w/w) protein relative to other particle components.

10

# Bioadhesive SME Vaccine Adjuvants

Submicron emulsion vaccine adjuvants of the present invention optionally may contain a bioadhesive macromolecule or polymer in an amount sufficient to 15 confer bioadhesive properties. The bioadhesive macromolecule enhances the delivery and attachment of antigens on or through the target mucous surface conferring mucosal immunity. The bioadhesive macromolecule may be selected from acidic non-naturally 20 occurring polymers, preferably having at least one acidic group per four repeating or monomeric subunit moieties, such as polyacrylic acid and/or polymethacrylic acid (e.g. CARBOPOL, CARBOMER), poly(methylvinyl ether/maleic anhydride) copolymer, and their mixtures and copolymers; 25 acidic synthetically modified natural polymers, such as carboxymethylcellulose (CMC); neutral synthetically modified natural polymers, such as (hydroxypropyl) methylcellulose; basic amine-bearing polymers such as chitosan; acidic polymers obtainable from natural 30 sources, such as alginic acid, hyaluronic acid, pectin, gum tragacanth, and karaya gum; and neutral non-naturally occurring polymers, such as polyvinylalcohol; or their mixtures.

The ionizable polymers may be present as free acids, 35 bases, or salts, usually in a final concentration of 0.01-0.5% (w/v).

As used herein, a polymer of an indicated monomeric subunit contains at least 75%, preferably at least 90%, and up to 100% of the indicated type of monomer subunit; a copolymer of an indicated type of monomeric subunit 5 contains at least 10%, preferably at least 25% of that monomeric subunit.

A preferred bioadhesive macromolecule is the family of acrylic acid polymers and copolymers (e.g. CARBOPOL $^{m}$ ). These contain the general structure:

10

## -[-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH(COOH)-]-n

One preferred group of polymers of acrylic acid is commercially available under the tradename CARBOPOL.

15 CARBOPOL 934 is available in a pharmaceutical grade.

Preferred bioadhesive or mucoadhesive macromolecules have a molecular weight of at least 50 kDa, preferably at least 300 kDa, and most preferably at least 1,000 kDa. Favored polymeric ionizable mucoadhesive macromolecules have not less than 2 mole percent acidic groups (e.g. COOH, SO(H) or basic groups (MI2, MRIF, NR2), relative to the number of monomeric units. More preferably, the acidic or basic groups constitute at least 5 mole percent, more preferably 25 or even 50, up to 100 mole % relative to the number of monomeric units of the macromolecule.

Preferred macromolecules also are soluble in water throughout their relevant concentration range (0.01-0.5% w/v).

30

#### Methods of Preparation

A further embodiment of the invention relates to methods for preparation of submicron emulsion vaccine adjuvants intrinsically and extrinsically as extensively detailed in the examples. In general, SME intrinsic formulations are prepared by emulsifying the antigen

together with the SME components, while SME extrinsic formulations are prepared by adding externally the antigen to previously prepared plain SME.

## 5 Dehydrated SME Adjuvants

A further aspect of the invention provides dehydrated emulsions, made by dehydrating the submicron emulsion of the types described herein. Dehydrated submicron emulsions may be stored for prolonged periods with minimal degradation, then reconstituted with water shortly before use. Residual water content in the dehydrated emulsion is usually less than 5% (w/w), commonly less than 2%, and often less than 1%.

Dehydration may be performed by standard methods,

such as drying under reduced pressure; when the emulsion
is frozen prior to dehydration, this low pressure
evaporation is known as lyophilization. Freezing may be
performed conveniently in a dry ice-acetone or ethyl
alcohol bath. The pressure reduction may be achieved
conveniently with a mechanical vacuum pump, usually

fitted with a diquid mitrogen cold trap to protect the pump from contamination. Pressures in the low millitorr range, e.g., 10-50 millitorr, are routinely achievable but higher or lower pressures are sufficient.

A cryoprotectant or anticoalescent compound may be added to the emulsion prior to dehydration to inhibit flocculation and coalescence upon rehydration. The cryoprotectant may be of any type known in the art, including sugars and polysaccharides such as sucrose or trehalose, and non-natural polymers such as polyvinylpyrrolidone. Cryoprotectants are usually present at less than 25%, commonly 10%, more commonly 5%, 4% (w/v) or less in the emulsion before lyophilization.

A preferred category of cryoprotectants is amino 35 acids and oligopeptides. Preferred amino acids include valine, leucine, isoleucine, lysine, methionine, of an HIV vaccine are the perceived overwhelming requirements for safety. Despite the high efficacy of many live attenuated viral vaccines, the requirement for product safety, especially in the case of retroviruses, 5 has favored the subunit approach to the extent that all of the current candidate preparations in clinical prophylactic trials are of this type, being mainly gp160, the envelope protein of HIV, or part thereof. Studies have shown that gp160 attaches the virus to the cell and also facilitates the fusion of the cell and virus during the early stages of infection.

The gp160 antigen used in this example was supplied by MicroGeneSys Inc. This gp160 recombinant protein in alum-adjuvanted vaccine formulation is currently under evaluation in human clinical trials.

Preparation of oil phase

The oil phase was composed of MCT oil (2.0 g Mygliol 812,

20 Hulls, Germany), lecithin (0.4 g, Lipoid E-80, Germany),
and DL-α-tocopherol succinate (8.0 mg, Merck, Germany).

The lipids and oil were weighed in a 250-ml beaker and
mixed at room temperature using a magnetic stirrer during
2-4 hrs until a homogenous and almost clear solution was
obtained.

Preparation of water phase
Polysorbate 80 (1 % w/v, Montanox 80, DF, Seppic,
France), Glycerol (2.2% w/v, Merck, Germany), EDTA (0. 1
30 % w/v, Merck, Germany), and purified water (to 100% w/v)
were dissolved at room temperature in a 250-ml beaker by
gentle shaking using a magnetic stirrer plate until a
clear homogenous solution was obtained (about 15-20 min).
A total volume of 40 ml of water phase was prepared. A
35 vial containing 2.1 ml of gp160 recombinant protein
(MicroGeneSys, Inc., CT, USA) at a concentration of 0.25

mg/ml in saline was added to the water phase and the mixture was gently shaken for 5 min.

Mixing of oil and water phase

5 The oil phase was heated to 40°C and added to the beaker containing the 40 ml of water phase. The mixture was gently stirred for 10-15 min at room temperature.

Preparation of oil-in-water coarse emulsion

10 An oil-in-water emulsion containing the antigen was prepared using the medium-sized disperser and homogenizing unit Polytron PT3000 (Kinematics, Switzerland) at 3,600 rpm for 30 sec. The resultant micronsize emulsion was cooled at room temperature.

15

Sizing of emulsion to submicron range
The droplet size of the emulsion obtained after Polytron
step was lowered to the submicron (nanosize) range by
submitting the emulsion to high shear homogenization

- using the Gaulin Microlab 70 High Pressure Homogenizer

  (ADV Gaulin, Germany) at 800 bar pressure. And the formulation of the resultant formulation was determined using an N4MD Coulter Particle Size Analyzer (Coulter Electronics,
- 25 England). The differential weight % mode of the instrument indicated the existence of homogeneous population of SME droplets with a mean particle size distribution of  $43\pm35$  nm. The estimated final gp160 concentration in the formulation was  $56~\mu g/ml$ .

30

Example 2: Preparation of Intrinsic-SME vaccine containing gpl60 complexed to proteosomes

Proteosomes are meningococcal outer membrane protein

preparations purified from Neisseria meningitidis by detergent extraction and ammonium sulphate precipitation.

- 21 -

They naturally form 20-100 nm diameter hydrophobic membraneous vesicles. Antigens are non-covalently complexed to proteosomes via hydrophobic interactions by mixing the antigen and proteosomes in the presence of detergent and then removing the detergent over a prescribed period of time, permitting hydrophobic interactions to occur in the system.

Proteosomes have previously been shown to enhance the
parenteral immunogenicity of peptides, gangliosides,
lipopolysaccharides and proteins hydrophobically
complexed to them (Lowell, G.H., L.F. Smith, R.C. Seid
and W.D. Zollinger, J. Exp. Med. 167: 658-663, 1988).
(Lowell, G.H., W.R. Ballou, L.F. Smith, R.A. Wirtz, W.D.

- 15 Zollinger and W.T. Hockmeyer. Science 240: 800-802, 1988; Lowell, G.H. 1990. In: New Generation Vaccines. G.C. Woodrow and M.M. Levine (eds.), Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, p. 141-160). and have been shown to be safe for human use in vaccine trials involving tens of thousands
- of humans in the development of anti-meningococcal vaccines (Zollinger, W.D. New and Improved Vaccines Against Meningococcal Disease. In: New Generation Vaccines, G.C. Woodrow and M.M. Levine (eds.), Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, p. 325-348). Furthermore,
- 25 proteosomes confer mucosal immunogenicity upon nonimmunogenic antigens when administered orally or intranasally. Such intranasal or oral proteosome vaccines induce up to 100% protection against lethal pneumonia or keratoconjunctivitis in experimental murine
- 30 models of shigellosis (Orr, N., G. Robin, D. Cohen, R. Arnon and G. Lowell. 1993. Immunogenicity and efficacy of oral or intranasal Shigella flexneri 2a and Shigella sonnei proteosome-lipopolysaccharide vaccines in animal models. Infect. Immun. 61: 2390-2395).

Oil and aqueous phases were prepared as described in Example 1. A vial containing 2.5 mg of gp160 non-covalently complexed to proteosomes and suspended in saline was added to the water phase (40 ml total volume) and the mixture was gently shaken for 5 min. The subsequent steps involved in the preparation of the SME, i.e. mixing of oil and water phases, homogenization and sizing to submicron range were carried out as described in Example 1. The particle size volume % distribution of the resultant formulation showed a mean droplet size of 38±41 nm. The estimated final gp160 concentration in the formulation was 46 μg/ml.

# Example 3: Preparation of Extrinsic-gpl60-SME vaccine

15

Extrinsic formulations of gp160 in SME were performed by preparing plain SME as described in Example 1, but in the absence of the antigen and adding externally the aqueous solution containing the gp160 to the plain SME by gently shaking for 15 min at room temperature. A total volume of 2.3 mi of plain SME (average droplet size of 50±36 nm) were mixed with 2.1 ml solution of gp160 in saline containing 1.1 mg protein to give a final gp160 concentration of 0.25 mg/ml.

25

30

35

# Example 4: Preparation of Extrinsic-SME vaccine containing gp160 complexed to proteosomes

Extrinsic formulation of gp160 conjugated to proteosomes in SME were performed by preparing plain SME as described in Example 1, but in the absence of the antigen and adding externally an aqueous dispersion of the gp160-conjugated to proteosomes to the plain SME by gently shaking for 15 min at room temperature. A total volume of 5.4 ml of plain SME (average droplet size of 50±36 nm) were mixed with 7.0 ml saline containing 3.1 mg of gpl 60

5

10

15

20

complexed to proteosomes to give a final gp160 concentration of 0.25 mg/ml.

Example 5: Preparation of Intrinsic-SME vaccine containing Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B Toxoid-F

Antigen description and background: Staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB) is a potent toxin that causes food borne illness among civilians and military personnel stationed around the world and is identified as a lethal offensive military threat that endangers both military and civilian populations through aerosolization.

SEB infection in civilian populations is related to staphylococcal food poisoning by SEB and related toxins: also contributes to death from staphylococcal sepsis following overwhelming staph infection. It also causes staph scalded skin syndrome in kids - i.e. morbidity and mortality from staphylococcal infections (P. Marrack and J. Kappler, Science, vol. 248, pp. 705-711.)

Due to the similarity to the human response both in sensitivity and clinical signs and the lack of an established model for lethality to SEB delivered via the respiratory route in lower animal species, non-human primates have been the primary animal model for development of vaccines to protect against respiratory challenge with SEB. Early work indicated that monkeys develop decreased sensitivity to repeated mucosal administration of the toxin. This suggested that protection to SEB exposure might be provided by toxoid immunization. Studies in rhesus monkeys and other animals indicated that oral immunization with formalinized toxoid was ineffective against parenteral challenge whereas parenteral immunization with 35 formalinized SEB toxic induced serum antibodies that recognized native SEB (Bergdoll, M.S. Enterotoxins. pp.

```
559-598 In: Staphylococci and Staphylococcal Infections, London and C. Adlam. Academic Press. London
                                                                                                                                           559-598 In: Staphylococci and Staphylococcal Infections, London, Academic Press, London, Academic Staphylococci and C. Adlam, Academic Several Academic Staphylococci and Staphylococci and Staphylococci Press, London, Academic Press, London, Acade
                                                                                                                                                                      1983). In the latter studies, however, several anti-SEB nonkeys that acquired anti-vity immunized monkeys that acquired hyperally immediate-type hyperally parenterally had severe immediate-type hyperally antihodies had severe immediate-type hyperally antihodies
                                                                                                                                                        eds. In the latter studies, that armired and 1983).
                                                                                                                                                                                      parenterally immunized monkeys that acquired anti-sen advantage immediate type made and advantage immediate type made antibodies had severe immediate type for the case to the case antibodies had severe antibodies when challenged with case to the 
WO 95/11700
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         These adverse
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       reactions when challenged with SEB toxin. SEB toxoid

reactions when challenged the formalinized to the fo
                                                                                                                                                                                                            antipodies when challenged with SEB toxin.

reactions when challenged with seB toxin.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     a canalaare ror parenteral vaccine
a canalaare ror parenteral threat would
as the military threat or an as the military that or as a canalaare ror parenteral vaccine
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        reactions suggested that the formalinized SEB toxy the sections suggested that the for parenteral vaccine for parenteral tarm the sections and a candidate for parenteral tarm the sections alone was not a saditionally set the military three alone was not a saditionally set the section of the
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                development.

Additionally, as the military threat would that studies on it was determined that respiratory challenged by serum IaG to respiratory challenged by serum protection provided by serum provided by serum protection provided by serum provided by serum protection provided by serum protection provided by serum protection protec
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      be by aerosolization, serum 190 to respiratory anti-serum provided by effects provided by as well as protective
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      protection provided by serum IgG to respiratory chall protection provided by serum IgG to respiratory remited by serum IgG to respiratory tract were remited as well as protective reeniratory tract were remited for the respiratory tract were remited for the respiratory tract were remited for the respiratory tractions and the respiratory tractions are respiratory to the respiratory tractions as well as the respiratory tractions are respiratory.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    as well as protective respiratory tract were required.

as well as protective respiratory tract were required.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               Recently, two identical lots of formalinized SEB toxoid

Recently, two identical lots of formalinized SEB toxoid

Recently, two identical lots of formalinized SEB toxoid

Research.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  Recently, two identical lots of formalinized SEB toxo.

Recently, two identical lots of formalinized of Research, Associated Army Institute of Research, Associated Reed Army Institute of Research, Associated Reed Army Institute of Research, Associated Recently, two identical lots of formalinized SEB toxo.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                were made at walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Aboud-Pire Washington DC (WRAIR) R. S. Grate F. Aboud-Pire Washington DC (Kamineki R. S. Grate F. Aboud-Pire Reed Army Institute of Research, Aboud-Pire Reed Army Institute of Reed Army Institu
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   Washington DC (WRAIR) following previously described E. Aboud-Pirak, s. Grate, E. Aboud-Pirak, s. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kaminski, Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, S. Amselem, R. Arnon and Specifications (Kamin, I. Weiss, Specification) (Kamin, I. Weiss, Specification
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              specifications (Kaminski, R., S. Amselem, R. Arnon and I. Weiss, of induction of respirator comparison of induction of respirator c. Hooper, 1993).
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               C. Hooper, 1993). Intranasal and parenteral proteosome

C. Lowell, 1993). Intranasal and parenteral proteosome
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            G. Lowell, 1993). Comparison of induction of respiratory induction of respiratory and parenteral proteosome intranasal and parenteral proteosome intranasal and parenteral proteosome intranasal and parenteral proteosome induction of respiratory induction induction of respiratory induction induction of respiratory induction inducti
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               Typh and serum lg by intranagal and parenteral proteoson B intranagal 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                vaccines formulated with staphylococcal enterotoxin

vaccines formulated with staphylococcal enterotoxin

In proceedings of 1993

Raltimore Marvi

Raltimore Review Raltimore Review Raltimore Marvi

toxolds or ricin peptides.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        toxoids or ricin peptides. Review, Baltimore, Revie
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           Medical Defense Bioscience Review, Baltimore, Maryland)

Medical Defense Bioscience toxold Preparation designated toxold Preparation at the Angel This WRAIR non-toxic in rannite at n. 6 mm/rm
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           This WRAIR formalinized toxoid preparation designated the dose at rabbits at 0.5 mg/kg, furthermore, it rabbits at 0.5 mg/kg, furthermore, it rox-F was non-toxic in rabbits lethal.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            TOX-F was non-toxic in rabbits at 0.5 mg/kg, the dose at it furthermore, it invariably lethal.

TOX-F was non-toxic in the murine n-calactosamine model of st which SEB toxin the murine n-calactosamine model.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         which seb toxic in the murine parallic mouse:

was non-toxic it to toxic toxic
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          Was non-toxic in the murine per BALB C mouse; toxicity even at such mice.

Was lethal in such mice.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               toxicity even at such mice. that described by Eldridge to that lethal in similar to that described by for the physical characteristics.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        100% lethal in such mice. The physical characterist.

The physical characterist.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           of Tox-F were similar to that described by Eldridge T.T.

(Eldridge, R.M. Biodedradable and biocompatible boly (Direction) and Gilley, R.M.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         (Eldridge, R.M. Biodegradable and biocompatible poly(Durant for and Gilley, an
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         and Gilley, R.M. Blodegradable and blocompatible poly(for an an adjuvant for an an an adjuvant for and gilley, R.M. Blodegradable and blocompatible poly(for an an adjuvant for an an adjuvant for an anarrae for an adjuvant for a for adjuvant for adjuvant
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         lactide-co-glycolide) microspheres as an adjuvant the the transfer immunity antimodiae the toxold which the transfer immunity antimodiae taphylococcal enterotoxin antimodiae staphylococcal enterotoxin antimodiae
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       stapnylococcal enterotoxin B toxoid which Infect. Immun.

stapnylococcal enterotoxin antibodies.

level of toxin-neutralizing antibodies.
```

59: 2978-2986, 1991) is that SDS-PAGE gel of Tox-F showed two distinct bands with estimated MW of 23,000 and 46,000. Biologically, Tox-F also had the characteristics previously reported by Eldridge et al., namely in a Mouse Spleen Lymphocyte Proliferative Assay in which concentrations of SEB toxin of 0.37-10.0 μg/ml were mitogenic, Tox-F was entirely non-mitogenic at all concentrations tested (0.04-1 00.0 μg/ml).

Preparation of SEB- Toxoid F
Formalinized SEB-Toxoid (Tox-F) was prepared according to
the method of Warren, J.R., Spero, L. and Metzger, J.F.
1983. J. lmmunol. 111: 885-892 and as per Eldridge, J.H.
et al. 1991, Infect. Immun. 59: 2978-2986 by formalin
treatment for 30 days at 37°C, pH 7.5.

# Preparation of SME

was obtained.

### Preparation of oil phase

Oil phase was composed of MCT oil (0.77g, Mygliol 822, Hulls, Germany), lecithin (0.14 g, Lipoid E-80, Germany) and DL-α-tocopherol succinate (9.0 mg, Merck, Germany). The lipids and oil were weighed in a 250-ml beaker and mixed at room temperature using a magnetic stirrer during
 25 2-4 hours until a homogenous and almost clear solution

Preparation of water phase

Polysorbate 80 (0.5% w/v, Montanox 80, DF, Seppic,

- 30 France), Glycerol (2.2% w/v, Merck, Germany), EDTA (0.1% w/v, Merck, Germany), and purified water (to 100% w/v) were dissolved at room temperature in a 250-ml beaker by gently shaking using a magnetic stirrer plate until a clear homogenous solution was obtained (about 15-20 min).
- 35 A total volume of 45 ml of water phase was prepared. A vial containing 10 ml of SEB-Toxoid F in 6.3 ml buffer

- 26 -

was added to the water phase and the mixture was gently shaken for 5 min.

Preparation of oil-in-water coarse emulsion

5 An oil-in-water emulsion containing the antigen was prepared by heating the oil phase to 40°C and mixing it with the water phase with the aid of a 10 ml glass pipette until a homogenous and milky dispersion was obtained. The resultant micronsize emulsion was cooled at room temperature.

The droplet size of the emulsion obtained after the Polytron step was lowered to submicron (nanosize) range by subjecting the emulsion to high shear homogenization using the Gaulin Microlab 70 High Pressure Homogenizer (APV Gaulin, Germany) at 800 bar pressure. A total of 5 cycles were performed. The particle size distribution of the resultant formulation was determined using an N4MD Coulter Particle Size Analyzer (Coulter Electronics, England). The differential weight & Applied and homogenous population of SME droplets with a mean particle size distribution of 47.5±58 nm (see Fig. 1).

25 The estimated final antigen concentration in the formulation was 220 µg/ml.

# Example 6: Preparation of Extrinsic SME vaccine containing Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B Toxoid-F

35

Extrinsic formulations of SEB-Toxoid-F in SME were performed by preparing plain SME as described in Example 5, but in the absence of the antigen and adding externally the aqueous solution containing the SEB-Toxoid-F to the plain SME by gently shaking for 15 min at room temperature. A total volume of 0.780 ml of stock

SME were mixed with 0.780 ml solution of SEB-Toxoid-Fin 0.01 M Tris 0.15M NaCl buffer containing 0.780 mg protein to give a final SEB-Toxoid-F concentration of 0.500 mg/ml.

5

20

25

# Example 7: Preparation of Extrinsic SME vaccine containing Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B Toxoid F complexed to proteosomes

performed by preparing plain SME as described in Example
5, but in the absence of the antigen and adding
externally the aqueous solution containing the SEBToxoid-F complexed to proteosomes to the plain SME by
gently shaking for 15 min at room temperature. A total
volume of 0.780 ml of stock SME were mixed with 0.780 ml
solution of SEB-Toxoid-F complexed to proteosomes in 0.01
M Tris 0.15M NaCl buffer containing 0.780 mg protein to
give a final SEB-Toxoid-F concentration of 0.500 mg/ml.

# Example 8: Preparation of Extrinsic-SME vaccine containing Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B

Preparation of SEB-Toxoid-C

Carboxymethylated Toxoid (Tox-C): Carboxymethylated with 0.4 Bromoacetic Acid, pH 7.0 for 11 or 21 days in the dark at 20°C as per Stema, G.N. and Bergdoll, M.S. 1982. Biophys. Biochem. Res. Commun. 105: 121-126 and as per Scheuber et al. 1985. Infect. lmmunol. 50: 869-876. Made at WRAIR according to described methods.

Extrinsic formulations of SEB-Toxoid-C in SME were performed by preparing plain SME as described in Example 5, but in the absence of the antigen and adding externally the aqueous solution containing the SEB-Toxoid-C to the plain SME by gently shaking for 15 min at room temperature. A total volume of 0.165 ml of stock SME were mixed with 0.110 ml solution of SEB-Toxoid-C in

5

water containing 0.110 mg protein to give a final SEB-Toxoid-C concentration of 0.400 mg/ml.

Example 9: Preparation of Extrinsic SME vaccine containing Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B Toxoid-C complexed to proteosomes

Extrinsic formulations of SEB-Toxoid-C in SME were performed by preparing plain SME as described in Example 5, but in the absence of the antigen and adding externally the aqueous solution containing the SEB-Toxoid-C complexed to proteosomes to the plain SME by gentle shaking for 15 min at room temperature. A total volume of 0.045 ml of stock SME were mixed with 0.230 ml solution of SEB-Toxoid-C complexed to proteosomes in water containing 0.110 mg protein to give a final SEB-Toxoid-C concentration of 0.400 mg/ml.

Example 10: Preparation of Mucoadhesive Extrinsic SME Formulation containing 0.05% Carbopol

420 ml of distilled water in which were dissolved 0.250 g
20 Carbopol-940 (Goodrich, U.S.) and 11.2 g glycerol (isotonic agent), pH 3.82, were warred to 45°C and mixed with the oil phase, consisting of 21.2 g MCT oil (medium chain triglycerides, SIO, France), 3.74 g Lipoid E-75 (egg lecithin) and 1.5% w/v Emulfor EL-620 (Rhone-Poulenc, France), at a temperature of 60°C. After mixing by high speed stirrer (Polytron 3000, Kinematica, Switzerland) at 20,000 rpm for 5 minutes the mixture was dispersed by a high pressure homogenizer (Gaulin Microlab 70) at 700 bar for 5 minutes (approximately 10 cycles).
30 The resulting emulsion was cooled, and after adjusting the pH to 5.0, the emulsion was filtered and packed in sterile bottles through a 0.2 μm filter.

After filtering, droplet size was measured by the photon correlation spectroscopy using a particle size analyzer (N4MD, Coulter Electronics, U.S.A.). The droplet size for

carbopol containing droplets was 127±79 nm. This mucoadhesive composition was prepared as an extrinsic plain SME formulation to be added to the specific immunogen by gentle mixing.

5

Example 11: Enhanced Immunogencity to SEB Antigen after Parenteral Immunization with Intrinsic and Extrinsic SEB-Toxoid F-SME Vaccines compared to Free Antigen or Alum-Adjuvanted Vaccine

10

20

25

The antigen used was Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B (SEB) toxoid F alone or SEB toxoid complexed to meningococcal outer membrane proteosomes. This antigen was formulated with SME adjuvant either intrinsically or extrinsically, as described in Examples 5, 6 and 7, and compared to SEB toxoid-F alone or adjuvanted with alum. Outbred CD-1 mice, 5 animals/group, were immunized twice at approximately 3 week intervals by intramuscular injections with 10 or 50  $\mu$ g doses of SEB Toxoid F. Sera, obtained after first and second immunizations, were analyzed by ELISA techniques using anti-SER-as-the detecting antibody. As shown in Figures 2 and 3, intrinsic and extrinsic-SME formulations with (Fig. 2) or without proteosomes (Fig. 3) were effective in enhancing immunity to SEB antigens. In all the cases, the anti-SEB serum IgG titers obtained with the SME-adjuvant were several orders of magnitude higher than those obtained with the alum-adjuvanted formulation.

30 Example 12:

Enhanced Immunogenicity to SEB Antigen after Parenteral Immunization with SEB-Toxoid-C SME Vaccines compared to Free Antigen or Alum-Adjuvanted Vaccine.

The antigen used was carboxymethylated SEB-Toxoid or Toxoid-C. The antigen was incorporated extrinsically in SME, as described in Example 9. The immunization protocol and sera analysis for antibody activity was as

described in Example 11. Mice were immunized intramuscularly with two 10µg doses of Toxoid-C. As shown in Table 1, parenteral immunization with Toxoid-C-SME vaccine induced a 4-fold increase in the level of serum IgG even in the absence of proteosomes.

TABLE 1. Effects of formulation with saline, alum or submicron emulsion (SME) on parenteral (intramuscular) immunogenicity of carboxymethylated SEB toxoid (Toxoid-C)

10	Antigen (2 doses)	Adjuvant	Anti-SEB serum IgG titer (OD>0.5 at 1 hr)	
	SEB Toxoid-C	None (saline)	819,200	
	SEB Toxoid-C	Alum	6,553,600	
15	SEB Toxoid-C	SME	3,276,800	

Example 13: Intranasal Immunization with SEB-Toxoid F
SME Vaccines

Immunization against biologic threat agents, such as SEB
requires the development of vaccines that can protect
against respiratory challenge. The induction of
respiratory IgA as well as serum IgG is likely to be
critically important to the success of such vaccines. To
elicit effective respiratory, as well as systemic,

immunity it may be necessary to develop a vaccine system that can be delivered intranasally, as well as parenterally.

BALB/c mice (8 animals/group) were immunized twice by
intranasal slow dropwise instillation into both nostrils
with SEB-Toxoid F (10 μg doses) formulated with or
without proteosomes as a free antigen or adjuvanted with
SME. Immunogenicity of these formulations was evaluated
after the two immunizations by determining systemic IgG
antibody activity against SEB and induction of anti-SEB
respiratory IgA antibody titers.

As shown in Table 2, Toxoid-F formulated in extrinsic SME either with or without proteosomes conferred intranasal immunogenicity resulting in 500- to 2000-fold enhancement of anti-SEB serum IgG antibody activity.

5

TABLE 2. Effects of formulation of formalized SEB (Toxoid-F) with submicron emulsion (SME) and/or proteosomes on induction of anti-SEB serum IgG following intranasal immunization

10

Antigen	Adjuvant	Anti-SEB serum IgG titers (O.D.>0.5 at 1 hr) w/o protoeosomes formulated with proteosomes		
(2 doses)				
SEB Toxoid-F	None (saline)	100	51,200	
SEB Toxoid-F	SME	51,200	204,800	

15

Extrinsic SME formation of Toxoid-F complexed to proteosomes also enhanced lung IgA antibody levels to SEB (Table 3).

20

TABLE 3. Enhanced production of anti-seb respiratory (lung) IgA antibodies after intranasal immunization of Balb/c mice with 10 µg SEB-Toxoid F antigen alone or adjuvanted with SME

2	5

Antigen	Adjuvant	Anti-SEB lung IgA titer
SEBtox-F	None (saline)	0
SEBtox-F	Extrinsic SME	0
SEBtox-F	Intrinsic SME	0
SEBtox-F -proteosomes	None (saline)	0.6
SEBtox-F -proteosomes	Extrinsic SME	10

35

30

Example 14: Intranasal immunization with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) antigen from S.flex complexed to proteosomes adjuvanted with Extrinsic-SME.

Evidence that the SME has potential as a mucosal adjuvant is also shown by the ability of the extrinsic-SME adjuvant formulation to markedly enhance the lung IgA of the proteosome-LPS antigen when given intranasally (Table 4) compared to the free antigen. The immunization protocol included two groups of BALB/c mice (4 animals each) which were immunized intranasally with 10 μg does of LPS from S.flex compared to proteosomes. The noncovalent complexation of LPS to proteosomes was carried out in a similar way as described in Example 2.

15 TABLE 4. Induction of mucosal immunity after intranasal immunization of Balb/C mice with 10 μg LPS-complexed to proteosomes as a free antigen or adjuvanted with extrinsic-SME.

Antigen	Adjuvant	Anti-	S.flex	Lung LP	S IgA
LPS (5.flex)-	None (saline)	256	512	1024	<b>2</b>
LPS (S.flex)- Proteosomes	Extrinsic SME	>2048	>2048	>2048	>2048

25

30

20

Example 15: Oral (intragastric) immunization of mice with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) antigen from S.flex complexed to proteosomes adjuvanted with extrinsic-SME.

BALB/c mice (5 animals/group) were immunized orally

(intragastrically) with 100  $\mu$ g does of LPS complexed to proteosomes and adjuvanted with extrinsic SME. As shown in Table 5, the SME adjuvant formulation was able to induce higher anti-S.flex LPS intestinal IgA titers, compared to the free antigen.

TABLE 5. Induction of mucosal immunity after oral (intragastric) immunization of Balb/C mice with LPScomplexed to proteosomes as a free antigen or adjuvanted with extrinsic-SME.

Antigen	Adjuvant	Anti S.flex LPS intestinal IgA
LPS (S.flex)- Proteosomes	None	74
LPS (S.flex)- Proteosomes	Extrinsic- SME	169

10

5

Example 16: Protection against systemic challenge with SEB by SEB Toxoid vaccines formulated with SME adjuvant administered parenterally or intranasally

Mice immunized parenterally (Table 6) or intranasally (Table 7) with Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B in mice 15 immunized with SEB Toxoid-F vaccines formulated with SME or proteosomes were significantly protected against systemic SEB challenge (100  $\mu$ g toxin).

TABLE 6. Correlation of anti-SEB serum IgG titers obtained after parenteral (i.m.) immunization (50 µg SEB-Toxoid F) with protection against systemic challenge with 100 μg SEB ion CD-1 mice.

	Antigen	Adjuvant	Anti-SEB IgG	died/tota	Survival
25	control	none	0	10/18	44%
	SEB-tox F	none	3,200	3/5	40%
	SEB-tox F	alum	51,200	4/5	20%
	SEB-tox F	SME- Extrinsic	204,800	0/5	100%
30	SEB-tox F	SME- Intrinsic	204,800	0/4	100%

TABLE 7. Correlation of anti-SEB serum IgG titers with protection against systemic (im) challenge with 100  $\mu$ g SEB in D-galactosamine-sensitized inbred (Balb/C) mice: effect of proteosomes and SME on efficacy of intranasal immunization with formalinized (Toxoid-F) SEB vaccines.

Vaccine	Adjuvant	Anti-SEB IgG serum titer	SEB challenge results	
			#died/ #total	Survived
None	None	<50-	12/12	0%
Toxoid-F	SME	51,200	4/7	40%
Toxoid F- Proteosomes	None	409,600	2/5	60%

The data in Table 6 show a very good correlation between the anti-SEB serum IgG titers obtained after intramuscular immunization of CD-1 mice with protection against systemic challenge with 100 μg of SEB. In the groups immunized with either extrinsic or intrinsic SME-SEB Toxoid F vaccines, the survival was 100% while for animals immunized with free antigen or alum-adjuvanted vaccine the survival was 0 and 40%, respectively.

Table 7 shows similar data for BALB/c mice immunized intranasally with SEB-Toxoid F alone or complexed to proteosomes in SME adjuvant or as free antigen.

# Example 17: Enhanced murine immunogenicity of gp 160 HIV antigen incorporated in SME adjuvants either intrinsically or extrinsically.

The antigens used were gp 160 alone or gp 160 complexed to meningococcal outer membrane proteosomes. These antigens were formulated with SME either intrinsically or extrinsically and compared to the gp 160 used without an adjuvant, as described in Examples 1-4. Mice (5 animals/group) were immunized three times at 3-4 week intervals. Sera, obtained after 2 and 3 immunizations

**-** 35 -

were analyzed for specific anti-gp 160 peptide IgG responses by quantitative Western blot techniques using seven specific HIV epitopes as the detecting antigens. As shown in Table 8a, both intrinsic and extrinsic formulations of SME were effective in enhancing immunity to several gp160 epitopes. Note that the strongest and broadest anti-HIV responses were obtained with the intrinsic formulation using gp 160-proteosomes as the antigen (rows 5 and 11 in Table 8a).

10

Example 18: Enhanced lapine immunogenicity of gp160 HIV antigen free or complexed to proteosomes formulated in SME vaccine adjuvants.

The antigens used were gp160 alone or gp160 complexed to 15 meningococcal outer membrane proteosomes. These antigens were formulated with SME either intrinsically or extrinsically and compared to gp160-alum, gp160proteosomes, and gp160-proteosomes-alum formulations. Rabbits (4 animals/group) were immunized parenterally Sera, obtained after 20 four times at different intervals. second, third and fourth immunizations were analyzed by for specific anti-gp160 peptide IgG responses by quantitative Eastern blot techniques using seven specific HIV epitopes as the detecting antigens. As shown in Table 8b, intrinsic formulations with SME with or without proteosomes were effective in enhancing immunity to several gp160 epitopes and even gp160 formulated with only an SEM-intrinsic preparation was as good as or

better than gp160 formulated with alum, a known adjuvant

30 currently used in people.

TABLE 8a: Sub-Micron Emulsions Enhance the Immunogenicity of Proteins alone or Protein-Proteosomes Complexes in Mice

	ЕРІТОРЕЅ								
5	Antigen	Adjuvant	C1 48-128	C21E 254-274	C3 342-405	CKen 735-752	C41 579-605	C448 453-518	V3 290-338
			Conse	rved areas o	f gp120	gp40 area	s of gp160		variable loop
	·								<u></u>
	After 2 Immunizati	ons							
	gp160	none	1	157,447	1	1	73,089	397,458	32,979
)	gp160	SME-intrinsic	131,848	36,805	1	1	39,357	123,000	1
	gp160	SME-extrinsic	111,102	215,056	1	88,089	76,348	596,159	1
j				·					
	gp160-proteosomes	none	325,008	870,970	1	48,437	65,787	994,290	17,758
	gp160-proteosomes	SME-intrinsic	1,772,367	1,237,152	162,326	1	161,763	411,147	1
	gp160-proteosomes	SME-extrinsic	678,516	254,246	17,205	1	224,494	566,667	18,260
	After 3 Immunizations								
	gp160	none	354,732	350,071	1	13,879	183,047	535,709	62,257
	gp160	SME-intrinsic	131,211	186,281	1	2,807	119,449	130,965	1
	gp160	SME-extrinsic	241,500	382.106	1	56,308	105,334	551,054	46,416
	gp 160-proteosomes	none	576.316	617,847	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	149,657	346,582	922,360	416,493
	gp160-proteosomes	SME-intrinsic	2,423,815	1,201,061	196,191	6,196	310,867	873,932	61,281
	gp160-proteosomes	SME-extrinsic	88,454	584,250	4.298	19,432	64,524	301,775	132,800

#### 25 TABLE 8b: Sub-Micron Emulsions Enhance the immunogenicity of Proteins alone in Rabbits

Data From Rabbit Immunogenicity

After 2 Im	After 2 Immunizations								
gp160	alum	92,402	63,382	3,288	35,913	29,721	22,150	10,183	
gp160	SME-intrin	nsic 95,403	84,672	27,840	50,221	103,119	94,622	88,896	
gp160	SME-extri	nsic 126,521	143,598	6,243	2,604	86,541	69,847	44,426	
БРТОО	10.02							14,420	
								17,420	
After 3 Im	munizations								
After 3 Im	munizations cosomes alum	56,202	78,323	4,281	17,380	24,368	7,320	7,623	
After 3 Im	munizations cosomes alum	56,202							

Another analysis of the experiment showing adjuvant effects of SME with gp160 vaccines in rabbits in which the antigens used were gp160 alone or gp160 complexed to meningococcal outer membrane proteosomes is shown in Figures 4 and 5. These antigens were formulated with SME either intrinsically or extrinsically and compared to gp160-alum, gp160-proteosomes, and gp160-proteosomes-alum formulations. Rabbits (4 animals per groups) were immunized parenterally four times at different intervals.

10 Sera, obtained after second, third and fourth immunizations were analyzed by ELISA techniques using

10 Sera, obtained after second, third and fourth immunizations were analyzed by ELISA techniques using several specific HIV epitopes as the detecting antigens. As shown in Figure 4, intrinsic formulations with SME with or without proteosomes were effective in enhancing immunity to several gp160 epitopes.

Among the six groups tested, the intrinsic-SME vaccine formulation containing the gp160 antigen in the absence of alum, was the most effective vaccine eliciting the high antibody titers with improved and extended immunogenicity against several selected of the standard alum-adjuvanted vaccine actually in clinical trials.

25 More importantly, the SME-intrinsic formulations of gp160 were able to induce IgG antibodies against the V3 loop epitope of the gp160 molecule (Fig. 5 and Table 8b), the site of the principal neutralizing determinant which blocks binding to CD4 (the main cellular receptor for HIV). Since the standard alum-adjuvanted formulation did not generate antibodies against the V3 peptide domain, the additional epitope recognition and enhanced total immunogenicity of SME-intrinsic adjuvant is considered to be a very significant achievement.

These data are encouraging for the development of enhanced subunit formulations of gp160 vaccines for HIV vaccine therapy.

5 Example 19: Immunogenicity of Leishmania glycoproteins formulated in extrinsic-SME adjuvant either with or without proteosomes.

The gene for a surface protein antigen of Leishmania major gp63, has been cloned and sequenced. This protein, recombinantly expressed in live Salmonella, or given in a 10 sub-unit vaccine as either the purified native gp63 or selected gp63 peptides (Jardim A., Alexander J., Teh S., Ou D, Olafson R.W. 1990. J. Exp. Med. 172: 645), has recently been shown to limit the extent to lesion development in murein models of cutaneous leishmaniasis 15 when given with certain adjuvants. These results suggest that a vaccine to protect humans against leishmaniasis composed of defined purified components is a realistic goal. The sub-unit vaccines were effective, however, only when administered with adjuvants containing 20 Corynebacterium parvum (CPV) and poloxamer 407. Other adjuvants (Complete Freund's Adjavant, CFA), of lack of adjuvant exacerbated disease.

- Major success was achieved with the discovery that subcutaneous immunization with one small gp63 peptide covalently conjugated to lauryl-cysteine protected against sever Leishmania cutaneous lesions with reduction of lesions in three separate experiments.
- The objective in the present example was to demonstrate immunogenicity and efficacy of an SME-adjuvanted lipopeptide vaccine to protect against severe morbidity of cutaneous leishmaniasis in murein models.

TABLE 9. Effect of immunization with LC-467 Leishmania lipopeptide formulated in SME adjuvant either with or without proteosomes on lesion size.

Formulation	<pre>% decrease on lesion size from Control in CBA</pre>
LC-467-proteosomes	52
LC-467-Extrinsic SME	90
LC-467-proteosomes-Extrinsic SME	90

10

5

The antigen used were lipopeptides obtained from the major glycoprotein of the Leishmania parasite. The peptides (denoted 457 and 154) were covalently attached to lauryl cysteine to serve as the hydrophobic foot. The vaccine was prepared by gentle mixing of the antigens at room temperature with plain extrinsic-SME for 15 min.

Two animal models were used: (1) CBA mouse, model similar to human cutaneous disease; and (2) BALB/c mice, model

20 similar to visceral Leishmania which is lethal if not treated...

The immunization protocol included two injections of the animals (8 mice/group) at weeks 0 and 3 with the

25 experimental vaccines (50 µg peptide/mouse). At week 6 the mice were infected with live Leishmania parasites and the lesion size as function of time was measured and compared. The results were expressed as % decrease from control (PBS injection). Different vaccine formulations containing SME with or without proteosomes were tested. Appropriate control formulations were used.

All the formulations tested containing the 154 glycopeptide had no effect on lesion size, even if this vaccine contained proteosomes, SME or their combination.

However, when the LC-467-glycopeptide was used, up to 90%

decrease in lesion size was obtained by incorporation of the lipopeptide in SME, even in the absence of proteosomes (Table 8).

Since there is considerable homology among 5 Leishmania strains, this peptide may have wide application in ameliorating lesions caused by other forms of Leishmania.

# Incorporation by reference

To the extent necessary to understand or complete 10 the disclosure of the present invention, all publications, patents, and patent applications mentioned herein are expressly incorporated by reference therein to the same extent as though each were individually so 15 incorporated.

20

25

30

- 41 -

#### THE CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

- A vaccine adjuvant composition of an oil-in-water submicron emulsion consisting essentially of about
   0.5 to 50% of a first component of an oil, about 0.1 to 10% of a second component of an emulsifier, about 0.05 to 5% of a non-ionic surfactant, about 0.00001 to 1% of an immunogen, and an aqueous continuous phase, said submicron emulsion having a mean droplet size in the
   range of between about 0.03 and 0.5 μm.
  - 2. The emulsion of claim 1 wherein the mean droplet size is between about 0.05 and 0.2  $\mu m$ .
- 3. The emulsion of claim 1 wherein the first component is a medium chain triglyceride oil, a vegetable oil, or mixtures thereof.
- 4. The emulsion of claim 3 wherein the first 20 component is present in an amount of about 1 to 20%.
  - 5. The emulsion of claim 1 wherein the emulsifier is a phospholipid compound or a mixture of phospholipids.
- 25 6. The emulsion of claim 5 wherein said emulsifier is selected from the group consisting of phosphatidylcholine, phosphatidylethanolamine, phosphatidylserine, phosphatidylinositol, phosphatidylglycerol, phosphatidic acid, sphingomyelin and cardiolipin.
  - 7. The emulsion of claim 6 wherein the emulsifier is present in an amount of about 0.2 to 5%.
- 35 8. The emulsion of claim 1 wherein the surfactant is selected from the group consisting of fatty alcohols,

polyethylene glycol esters of fatty acids, polyethoxylated fatty acid esters, polyethoxylated fatty alcohol ethers, polyethoxylated alkylphenyl ethers.

- 5 9. The emulsion of claim 1 wherein the surfactant is an alkylene oxide condensate of an organic compound having one or more hydroxyl groups.
- 10. The emulsion of claim 9 wherein the non-ionic 10 surfactant is present in an amount of about 0.1 to 5%.
- 11. The emulsion of claim 1 wherein the first component is present in an amount of about 1 to 20%, and the second component and the non-ionic surfactant are each present in an amount of about 0.1 to 2%.
  - 12. The composition of claim 1 wherein the immunogen is hydrophilic, lipophilic or amphiphilic.
- 20 13. The composition of claim 1 wherein the immunogen is a native, recombinant or synthetic pertice, protein or glycoprotein derived from a bacteria, virus or parasite.
- 25 14. The composition of claim 13 wherein the antigen is the gp160 envelope protein of the HIV virus, or a fragment thereof.
- 15. The composition of claim 13 wherein the antigen 30 is the surface glycoprotein of a Leishmania parasite or a fragment thereof.
- 16. The composition of claim 15 wherein the surface glycoprotein or peptide is covalently conjugated to a35 hydrophobic foot.

WO 95/11700 PCT/US93/10402

- 17. The composition of claim 16 wherein the hydrophobic foot is lauryl-cysteine.
- 5 18. The composition of claim 1 wherein the immunogen is a protein toxoid.
  - 19. The composition of claim 18 wherein the immunogen is Staphylococcus Enterotoxin B toxoid.

10

- 20. The composition of claim 1 wherein The immunogen is an oligosaccharide, a polysaccharide or a lipopolysaccharide.
- 15 21. The composition of claim 20 wherein the immunogen is a lipopolysaccharide from Shigella flexneri.
  - 22. The composition of claim 1 wherein the immunogen is complexed to proteosomes.

- 23: The composition of claim T which is essentially free of added amounts of muramyl peptides or their lipophilic derivatives.
- 24. A vaccine adjuvant composition of an oil-in-water submicron emulsion consisting essentially of about 0.5 to 50% of a first component of an oil, about 0.1 to 10% of a second component of an emulsifier, about 0.05 to 5% of a non-ionic surfactant, about 0.00001 to 1% of an
- 30 immunogen, and an aqueous continuous phase, said submicron emulsion having a mean droplet size in the range of between about 0.03 and 0.5  $\mu$ m, in combination with a bioadhesive or mucoadhesive macromolecule in an

PCT/US93/10402

amount sufficient to impart adhesive properties to the vaccine.

25. The composition of claim 24 wherein the mucoadhesive macromolecule is selected from the group consisting of acidic naturally occurring polymers; acidic synthetically modified natural polymers; acidic nonnatural polymers; basic polymers; neutral nonnatural polymers; and neutral naturally occurring polymers.

10

- 26. The composition of claim 25 wherein the acidic nonnatural polymer is selected from the group consisting of polymers and copolymers containing acrylic acid units, polymers and copolymers containing methacrylic acid units, and poly(methylvinylether/maleic anhydride) copolymers.
  - 27. The composition of claim 25 wherein the acidic nonnatural polymer is polyacrylic acid.

- 28. A vaccine adjuvant composition of an cil-in-water submicron emulsion consisting essentially of about 0.5 to 50% of a first component of an oil, about 0.1 to 10% of a second component of an emulsifier, about 0.05 to 5% of a non-ionic surfactant, about 0.00001 to 1% of an immunogen, a cryoprotectant in an amount sufficient to facilitate lyophilization of the composition, and an aqueous continuous phase, said submicron emulsion having a mean droplet size in the range of between about 0.03
  30 and 0.5 μm.
  - 29. The composition of claim 28 wherein the cryoprotectant is selected from the group consisting of an amino acid, an oligopeptide and polyvinylpyrrolidone.

WO 95/11700 PCT/US93/10402

30. A dehydrated vaccine adjuvant composition obtained by lyophilizing the composition of claim 28 or 29, whereby a submicron emulsion is generated when water is added to the dehydrated composition.

5

" et

J. 1888

- 31. A dehydrated vaccine adjuvant composition for administration of an immunogen, comprising a plurality of submicron particles having a hydrophobic core, the immunogen, and a surfactant, whereby a submicron emulsion is generated when water is added to the dehydrated composition.
- 32. A method for preparing the composition of claim 1 by an intrinsic procedure, which comprises adding the immunogen to the oil phase before emulsification of the water and oil phases.
- 33. A method for preparing the composition of claim
  1 by an intrinsic procedure, which comprises adding the
  20 immunogen to the water phase before emulsification of
  water and oil phases.

نين عر

- 34. A method for preparing the composition of claim
  1 by an extrinsic procedure, which comprises preparing a
  25 submicron emulsion from the oil, surfactant and
  emulsifier components, and adding the immunogen
  externally by mixing it with the previously prepared
  submicron emulsion.
- 35. A vaccine adjuvant composition prepared by adding water to the dehydrated composition of claim 31 to generate a submicron emulsion which contains the immunogen therein.
- 36. A method for providing enhanced immunogenicity in a subject which comprises administering the

composition of claim 1, 24, 28 or 35 to the subject to introduce the immunogen therein.

- 37. The method of claim 36 which further comprises5 administering the composition parenterally, orally, intranasally or topically to the subject.
- 38. A method of achieving mucosal immunity in a subject which comprises administering the composition of claims 24 to 27 to mucosal surfaces of the subject to introduce the immunogen therein.
- 39. The method of claim 38 which further comprises applying the composition at least upon a portion of the15 vaginal, nasal, rectal or oral mucosa of the subject.

20

25

30

1/5




FIG. 1




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2B






FIG. 3

4/5





# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US93/10402

A. CL. IPC(5)	ASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		
US CL	:A61K 39/008, 39/085, 39/21, 9/10, 9/107, 9/12':424/88, 89, 452, 450, 462	7, 37/20	
According	to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to be	oth national classification and IPC	
	LDS SEARCHED		
Minimum e	ocumentation searched (classification system follow	wed by classification symbols)	
	424/88, 89, 452, 450, 462; 436/829; 514/937, 93	• •	
Documenta	tion searched other than minimum documentation to	the extent that such documents are include	d in the fields scarched
Electronic o	late have consulted during the international annual	(	
	lata base consulted during the international scarch dline, Biosis, Embase, Chem Abstracts	name of data base and, where practicable	s, search terms used)
7110, MO	sme, blosis, embase, enem Adstracts		
<del></del>			
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where	appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
	WO, A, 92/00081 (Barchfeld et al)	09 January 1992 see pp. 5-7	1-39
	11, 20, 23, 25, 27, 30-33.	22, 300 pp. 3 7,	1-37
	US, A, 5,026,543 (Rijke) 25 June 19	91, see column 1, lines 21-29.	1-39
	Riotechnology Thomassics 37-1		
	Biotechnology Therapeutics, Volum	e 2, Nos. 1-2, issued 1991,	14
ļ	Barrett et al, "Characterization of a V	vaccinia-Derived Recombinant	•
i	HIV-1 gp160 Candidate Vaccine Champanzees", pages 91-106, see pa	and its immunogenicity in	
	pages 71-100, see pa	ges 91-92.	
i	* *		W' is the state of
1			
	·		
<del></del>			
Furthe	r documents are listed in the continuation of Box (	C. See patent family annex.	
-	ial categories of cited documents:	T later document published after the inter	national filing date or priority
docu to be	ment defining the general state of the art which is not considered part of particular relevance	date and not in conflict with the applicat principle or theory underlying the inves	ion but cited to understand the ation
carb	er document published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the	claimed invention cannot be
docu	ment which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is to establish the publication date of another citation or other	considered novel or cannot be considered when the document is taken alone	ed to involve an inventive step
apeci	n terror (as abecilied)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the	claimed invention cannot be
docu	ment referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other	considered to involve an inventive combined with one or more other such being obvious to a person skilled in the	documents, such combination
docu	nent published prior to the international filing date but later than iterity date claimed	'&' document member of the same patent fi	
	tual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international scar	
S JANUAI			on report
····		FEB 0 8 1994	
mmissione	iling address of the ISA/US r of Patents and Trademarks	Authorized officer 2	maa In
ox PCT	D.C. 20231	JULIE KRSEK-STAPLES	gga for
	NOT APPLICABLE	Telephone No. (703) 308-0196	· •
	√210 (second sheet)(July 1992)#	(100) 300-0190	

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US93/10402

C (Continua	ation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passage	Relevant to claim No.
Y	Immunology, Volume 72, No. 1, issued January 1991, Yang et "Identification and Characterization of Host-Protective T-Cell Epitopes of a Major Surface Glycoprotein (gp63) from <i>Leishma major</i> ", pages 3-9, see entire document.	
Y	Journal of Immunology, Volume 140, No. 4, issued 15 Februar 1988, Russell et al, "Effective Immunization against Cutaneous Leishmaniasis with Defined Membrane Antigens Reconstituted i Liposomes", pages 1274-1279, see entire document.	
Y	Journal of Experimental Medicine, Volume 167, No. 2, issued February 1988, Lowell et al, "Peptides Bound to Proteosomes v Hydrophobic Feet Become Highly Immunogenic Without Adjuvants", page 658-653, see pages 658-659.	ia 16-17
Y	Infection and Immunity, Volume 59, No. 9, issued September 1991, Eldridge et al, "Biodegradable and Biocompatible Poly(Di Lactide-Co-Glycolide) Micospheres as an Adjuvant for Staphylococcal Enterotoxin B Toxoid Which Enhances the Level of Toxin-Neutralizing Antibodies", pages 2978-2986, see entire document.	*
	Infection and Immunity, Volume 61, No. 6, issued June 1993, (et al, "Immunogenicity and Efficacy of Oral or Intranasal Shigel flexneri 2a and Shigella sonnei Proteosome-Lipopelysaccharide." Vaccines in Apimal Models, pages 2200-2205.	lla
	Vaccines in Animal Models", pages 2390-2395, see entire document.	
	Pharmaceutical Research, Volume 9, No. 12, Issued December 1992, Thermes et al, "Mucoadhesion of Copolymers and Mixtur Containing Polyacrylic Acid", pages 1563-1567, see page 1563.	24-27, 38, 39
	Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, Volume 37, No. 10, issued October 1985, Morimoto et al, "Enhancement of Nasal Absorption of Insulin and Calcitonin Using Polyacrylic Acid Gel pages 134-136, see page 134.	24-27, 38, 39
	US, A, 3,852,155 (Moore) 03 December 1974, see column 2, lines 9-10.	28-31, 35
1	US, A, 5,185,146 (Altenburger) 09 February 1993, see column alines 23-33.	4, 28-31, 35
1	US, A, 4,073,743 (Midler Jr. et al) 14 February 1978, see colur. 2, lines 51-55.	nn 33

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US93/10402

		PC17037371040	
C (Continu	tion). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relev	ant passages	Relevant to claim No
Y	US, A, 4,795,635 (Peleg et al) 03 January 1989, see clines 38-42.	34	
Y	Analytical Biochemistry, Volume 211, issued 15 May Friede et al, "Lyophilized Liposomes as Shelf Items for Preparation of Immunogenic Liposome-Peptide Conjug 117-122, see page 117.	30, 31, 35	
Y	Journal of Immunological Methods, Volume 135, Nos. 31 December 1990, Ruegg et al, "Preparation of Prote Based Vaccines. Correlation of Immunogenicity with P Characteristics", pages 101-109, see page 102.	osome-	22
			,
-			
			1